



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/758,192	01/12/2001	Masumi Sato	201887US2	1095		
22850 7	590 06/03/2003 ·					
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER			
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GRAINGER, QUANA MASHELL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/758,192**

Applicant(s)

Sato et al.

Examiner

Quana Grainger

Art Unit 2852



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y and will expire SIX the application to be	(6) MONT come AB	HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims	•				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideratio		
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u>			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 51 and 52			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		·	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	a	re subj	ect to restriction and/or election requirement		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e aD accepte	ed or b	Dobjected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr			i		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a\(\sum_	approved by disapproved by the Examine		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office act	ion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e bee n received	i.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) L. The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (P1	O-413} Paper No(s)		
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info	rmal Pate	nt Application (PTO-152)		
3) 💢 inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20	6) Other:				

Serial Number: 09/758192

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements filed 1/2/2003 and 3/10/2003 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kisu in

Serial Number: 09/758192

Art Unit: 2852

the other portion.

view of Kaname et al. Kisu teaches a charging roller facing a surface of a body to be charged and applied with a voltage, said charging roller comprising: a metallic core; an elastic member covering said core; the elastic member provided on said core, including said opposite end portions, such that said elastic member has a same outside diameter throughout an entire length in an axial direction; and films respectively wrapped around portions of said elastic member corresponding to said opposite end portions of said core. Kisu does not teach that the charging roller comprising: a metallic core including axially opposite end portions larger in diameter than

Kaname et al. teaches a charging roller comprising a metallic core including axially opposite end portions larger in diameter than the other portion (Figures)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Kaname et al. with the charging device of Kisu to provide a charging device which eliminates charging irregularities of a charging member resulting from nip-width difference (Kaname et al.; abstract).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-50 are allowed.

Response to arguments

Applicant arguments with respect to claims 51-52 are moot in view of the new grounds 6. of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

Serial Number: 09/758192

Art Unit: 2852

examiner should be directed to Quana Grainger whose telephone number is 703-308-7616. The

examiner can normally be reached on weekdays between the hours of 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on 703-308-1373. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular

communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

Quana Grainger

Art Unit 2852

QG

June 2, 2003